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ABSTRACT
Purpose
This study sought to determine the influence of strategic physical resources on performance of small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya. Anchored on the Resource Based View (RBV) theory the study adopted positivism research philosophy descriptive and causal-effect research designs.

Design/methodology/approach
The population for the study comprised of the management staff of the 350 small and medium manufacturing enterprises registered by the Kenya Association of Manufacturers (KAM) where a sample of 183 participants was chosen using stratified sampling method. The study tested for content validity as well as reliability using internal consistency of the questionnaire using Cronbach alpha coefficient. Data analysis was conducted using descriptive statistics and regression analysis to conclude that physical resources have a significant influence on performance of small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya.

Findings
Consequently the study recommended that the management of SMEs should ensure that they invest significantly in these resources so as to maximise on the performance of these firms. However the conclusions reached in this paper were based on data collected from small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya. For this reason, the results of the study suffer from generalizability since they may not be inferred on other firms other than manufacturing firms in the sector with similar characteristics.

Originality/value
The findings of this study significantly contribute to the existing pool of knowledge regarding the concept of intellectual capital and its implications on organizational performance. Scholars and other researchers would find the outcomes of this study relevant as reference material to advance in their research.
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1. Introduction

Organisations rely on the resources at their disposal to produce goods and services in their quest to generate more revenue and maximise their performance. As opined by Ombaka, Machuki and Mahasi (2015), firm's resources are essential in determining a firm's success. It follows that for organisations to perform better they need more and more resources. However, as noted by Hatch and Howland (2015) resources are not an adequate condition to generate superior performance. Instead, for the resources to generate superior performance they must be strategic in the sense that they must be rare, valuable, non-substitutable and inimitable. Rareness in this sense means that the resources are scarce and only accessed by a few making them unique to the firm. This is why a resource needs to be rare if it is to give the organisation a competitive advantage. Further, Kim and Mauborgne (2014) note that resources are not valuable in themselves but they give organisations the capabilities to operate in a way that they can have sustainable and competitive business. If the organisation wants to have a competitive edge over its rivals, it has to have resources that are more than immobile and heterogeneous.

Additionally, if a resource is to be a source of a sustainable competitive advantage, the heterogeneity in it must not be a onetime thing but should continue in the long term since the resources used to create a competitive advantage are not mobile for different organisations. Barney (1991) points out that an organisation's strategic resources consist of the human,
physical, intellectual capital and financial resources. The organisation uses these resources to produce goods and services that are unique and that cater for the customer’s needs. Physical resources include the resources that are visible such as the firm buildings, manufacturing facilities and physical assets. According to Gakenia (2014), the performance of an organisation is dependent on the effective and efficient use of the resources under the organisations disposal. It is therefore necessary for managers of SMEs in the manufacturing sector to pinpoint the resources at their disposal and use them strategically to build a competitive and sustainable business. Further, Zarutskie (2010) noted that physical resources in the likes of furniture, equipment, materials, and machines offer a vital service to production process. Further, Barney (2014) was of the view that human and physical resources are some of the most essential organisation’s resources.

Porter (1986) is said to be the person behind the concept of competitive advantage (CA). According to Porter (1985) CA comes as a result of the long-time use of unique strategies that result to value. These strategies are not only unique but rare. Based on Porter’s view, organisations that want to compete successfully in a dynamic business environment should come up with generic strategies and use them to achieve sustainable and profitable business (Yadav, Han & Kim, 2017). Generic strategies do not only make an organisation’s operations competitive but sustainable in the long run. This advantage is achieved due to the use of the available company resources (Hatch and Howland, 2015). If these resources are used optimally they can give the firm a competitive advantage based on price or one based on differentiation leading to sustainable and efficient business. The efficiency that comes from such operations leads to minimal operational costs or allows the product to be unique in terms of better quality, higher awareness of the brand or enhanced availability (Wagner & Hollenbeck, 2014). Small businesses are often found in a saturated market where competition is intense and can be the biggest gainers of competitive advantage.

According to Koontz and Thomas (2012), an organisation is only successful if it is able to give its shareholders profits and if it can maintain this profitability in the long term. Performance in manufacturing SME’s is paramount as it is what determines the firm’s prosperity, survival and expansion. As noted by Musah (2008) there are different means of measuring performance. The type of measure to be used is dependent on the industry, the products the firm offers and the structure of the firm. For instance, Saleem and Khurshid (2014) states that performance is the total results of a firm activities and the output the firm gives to the shareholders. This outcome is measured in terms of loyalty, commitment, efficiency, effectiveness, effort, participation, decision-making, innovativeness and profitability. Further, Nzitunga (2015) noted that SME’s are an important part of any economy since they help in the achievement of economic goals through job creation for the country’s population.

In Kenya, Small and Medium Enterprises make a significant contribution to the Kenyan economy, accounting for 20 percent of Kenya’s GDP and contribute to 88 percent of jobs created annually (RoK, 2015). Further, women owned businesses account for over 48 percent of all SMEs in Kenya. The sector is a source of customer products, it is a source of innovation, competition and good organisation culture which are important in enhancing the development of the private sector and improved industrialization (Bowen, Morara & Mureithi, 2009). However, these SMEs are constantly faced by threat of failure and most of them close down shortly after their establishment and never grow into large businesses. This may be partly attributed to lack of management skills on the part of the proprietors coupled with shortage of resources that are strategic in nature. This study aimed at establishing the influence of strategic physical resources on performance of Kenyan manufacturing SMEs.

2. Literature Review

The study is based on the Resource Based View (RBV) theory attributed to the works of Penrose (1959) who showed that organisational performance is directly related to the resources owned and controlled by the firm. The theory postulates that firm performance is dependent on how a firm controls its resources (Wernerfelt, 1984). Consequently, the emphasis of the theory is on acquisition of strategic resources from which a firm can gain competitive advantage and the combination of such heterogeneous resources in a manner that guarantees greater performance and sustainability (Kraaifbrink, Spender & Groen, 2010). Additionally, this theory is fit for this study as it allows organisation leaders to determine if they have resources that can give the firm a competitive and sustainable edge. They are also able to use market imperfections to their advantage. This means that firm leaders can use a combination of resources available to them to compete effectively against their rivals. The RBV theory highlights ways that organisations can achieve superior performance by use of resources at their disposal. However, the emphasis here is that an organisation can only build a competitive and sustainable enterprise if it uses resources that are non-substitutable, inimitable, rare and valuable.

The study found that there exists a lot of literature on physical resources and firm performance. For instance Grant (1991) and Barney (2014) concluded that in order to gain a sustainable competitive edge, firms must be in a position to gain access and control resources such as physical resources and deploy then in a coherent manner. It was also noted that over the years, firms have mainly focused on how to employ or use their existing physical resources which in turn results in providing partial explanation on the emergence of heterogeneous resource positions. Barney (1991) argued that lasting competitive position requires synergic coordination and configuration of resources and capabilities to positively influence firm performance. Moreover, Benjamin and Orodho (2014), physical facilities represent one of the most important components of organizational resources that stimulate production and superior performance. Studies have shown that manufacturing organisations success depend
on the available resources at the firms disposal and the 
way in which these resources are used. Although it is 
important for managers to study and determine the 
resources available, Myeda and Pitt (2014) emphasized 
on the responsibility of FM in encouraging 
organizational performance, and in provision of 
competitive advantage. At the same time, examining the 
relationship between facility management, customer 
satisfaction and service relationship in the health care 
facilities located in Bangkok, Pitt, Chotipanich, 
Issarasak, Mulholland, and Panupattananpong (2016) 
indicated that a favourable association does exist 
between the study variables. Moreover, Angila (2008) looked at the impact 
availability and use of physical resources has on the 
performance of students at Kimathi Primary School. The 
results showed a favourable relationship between the 
study variables. Further, assessing the impact 
of management of physical resources on KCSE 
performance in Kisii central based public secondary 
schools, Mong'are (2012) found that the lack of funding led 
inadequate learning and teaching resources, 
congestion and un-conducive learning environment. At 
the same time, Obinga (2014) looked at the association 
between physical resources and internal efficiency of 
public secondary schools in Tana river County, Kenya. 
The findings showed that the availability of physical 
resources in these schools had a favourable impact on 
their internal efficiency. Finally, Ndungu (2014) did a 
study to determine the availability, use and effective 
utilisation of leaning materials, equipment, teaching 
materials and physical educational resources in Starehe 
Nairobi primary schools. The outcome showed that 
many of these schools did not make the provision of 
adequate physical resources, development and use of these 
resources part of their budget.

3 Methodology

The research philosophy adopted in this study was 
positivism philosophy whose ontology states that reality 
is real and encourages the collection of data to provide 
the results of a research. The positivism philosophy also 
encourages the use of real facts, measuring the data, 
being objective, neutral and validation of the data 
(Saunders, 2011). Additionally, the epistemology of this 
philosophy makes an assumption that the research will 
not be biased since the researcher will not be part of the 
researched participants and will do the study with no 
bias. In this study the researcher observed objectivity as 
outlined in this philosophy. Moreover statistical tests of 
hypothesis were conducted to make conclusions in this 
study. Based on these observations the philosophy 
applicable in the study. The research designs adopted in 
the study were descriptive research design as well as 
causal-effect research design. The target population in 
this case consisted of the management staff of the 350 
small and medium manufacturing enterprises registered 
by the Kenya Association of Manufacturers (KAM). A 
sample size of 183 respondents (one respondent per firm) 
was chosen with the help of stratified sampling method. 
The research tool used to collect primary data was a 
semi-structured, self-administered questionnaire. The 
instrument was tested for validity through content 
validity. As explained by Sekaran (2011) testing for 
content validity of a research tool is important since it 
determines the extent to which the tool content is in line 
with the content related to the phenomenon under 
study. Reliability was tested using internal consistency 
via Cronbach alpha coefficient. A coefficient of 0.7 and 
above as advised by Field (2009) was considered 
adequate. The questionnaire contained two sections, the 
first section covered the demographic characteristics of 
the respondents while the second section consisted of the 
research questions on the dependent variable (firm 
performance) and independent variable (physical 
resources). In this study, the dependent variable 
(performance) was measured in terms of profitability, 
sales volume, market share and number of customers as 
advised by Kaplan and Norton (2007) in their concept of 
balanced score card which allows companies to track 
financial results while monitoring progress in building 
capabilities needed for growth. On the other hand, 
physical resources were operationalized in terms of 
production facility, ICT infrastructure, natural resources 
and marketing infrastructure as recommended by 
Zarutskie (2010) who observed that physical resources 
also include machines, equipment, furniture and 
materials, which offer a vital service to production 
process. The respondent were required to respond to the 
research items on the extent to which they agree with 
the statements on the aspects of study variables in a 5- 
point Likert scale where 5- was very large extent and 1 
represented no extent. Descriptive statistics including standard deviation and 
mean helped analyse the data. Inferential analysis with 
the use of regression analysis was also done. The 
independent variable (physical resources) was measured 
through production facility, ICT infrastructure, natural 
resources and marketing infrastructure while 
performance was measured through profitability, sales 
volume, market share and number of customers. To test 
the significance of the regression model the study 
conducted an F-test while the significance of the study 
coefficients was tested using the F-value at 0.05 
significance level. The study findings were presented 
inform of tables.

4. Results and Discussions

This study used descriptive statistics to establish the 
relationship that exists between physical resources and 
performance. The descriptive statistics provides a 
summary on the characteristics of the study variables 
through measures of central tendency: specifically, the 
mean and the standard deviation. In this section, the 
respondents were required to express the extent to 
which they agreed to statements on each of the study 
variables in a 5-point Likert scale where 1 represented 
no extent while 5 represented very large extent. Each 
variable is discussed separately and presented in separate 
tables. The descriptive results for physical resources are 
as shown in Table 1 below 
The results shown in table 1 indicated that the 
aggregate mean score for physical resources was found 
to be 3.52 which showed that majority of small and
medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya utilized physical resources to a great extent in influencing their firm performance. However, the study noted that there was high disparity in the utilization of the physical resources as shown by a standard deviation of 1.08. Results further showed that majority of small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya were connected to the internet as shown by a mean score of 3.85. This meant that the respondents to a great extent agreed that their university was connected to the internet.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Physical Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICT infrastructure</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This organization is connected to the internet</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>39.824</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of ICT in our organization has improved our efficiency</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.166</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>36.797</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All departments in this organization are connected through an internal network</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.222</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>34.104</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes in this organization have been automated</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>34.984</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This firm has adequately invested in information communication technology.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>34.588</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This firm encourage sharing of databases with our customers to monitor their stock levels</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>32.573</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production facility</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The layout of our factory is designed to improve efficiency.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>42.801</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The production facility available is adequate to meet our customers’ demands.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>.943</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>43.647</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The organization has invested in adequate production facility.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>.953</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>41.523</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is adequate space in the production section.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>38.642</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marketing infrastructure</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our marketing team is adequately empowered to carry on their functions</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>.985</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>42.907</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This company has a strong marketing infrastructure</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>35.773</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The company has adequate distribution channel for our products</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>.927</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>44.292</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural resources</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is free flow of raw materials and finished goods on the production floor.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>36.059</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have adequate access to natural resources</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>33.832</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most of our raw materials are natural resources</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>31.611</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregate Score</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results signified the relevance of connectivity to the internet on firm performance. Pitt, et al. (2016) showed that connectivity to the internet may boost firm performance by improving access to market information, by facilitating more effective coordination of firms’ production and delivery chains and by creating new business opportunities. Accordingly, small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya tap into the internet so as to increase performance levels. The statement with the highest absolute deviation as measured through the standard deviation was that all departments in this organization were connected.
through an internal network with a standard deviation of 1.222. These results showed that some organisations had all their departments connected through an internal network while in other organisations though connected to the internet did not have an intranet connecting all the departments in the organisation. It was shown by Paunov and Rollo (2016) that internet and intranet adoption positively affects a firms’ labour productivity and also improves firms’ efficiency in Africa, Eastern Europe, Central Asia, the Middle East as well as Latin America and the Caribbean.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profitability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit margin has been on the rise</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>.950</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>45.687</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have observed a steady increase in profit before tax</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>39.553</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This company has over the years experienced gradual growth in profit after tax</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>38.409</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sales volume</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our firm has been experiencing growing sales volume</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>43.419</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our customers have been gradually increasing their order volumes</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>37.157</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market Share</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our main products occupy the bigger portion of market share</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>40.775</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We pride as the manufacturing firm with the highest market share</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1.126</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>35.784</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Customers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The quality of our products has helped us increase the number of customers</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>39.199</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of customers in this firm has been gradually increasing</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>44.136</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aggregate Score</strong></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.727</td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Survey Data (2018)

The overall mean score for the firm performance was 3.727 indicating that majority of respondents agreed that the performance of their firms had increased to a great extent. Additionally, the overall standard deviation was 1.058 which shows that there was a high dispersion in performance among the small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya. Based on the magnitude, it was found that majority of small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya relied on the quality of their products to increase the number of customers as shown by a mean score of 3.95 and a standard deviation of 1.152. The high value of the standard deviation depict that there was high variability on the pursuit of quality products among the small and medium manufacturing enterprises.

The respondents also believed to a great extent that their manufacturing firm had the highest market share with a mean score of 3.52 and a standard deviation of 1.126. Although, this is the statement that received the least mean score, the results showed that majority of the respondents believed that their firm held a significant proportion of the market in the regions that they operate. Hatch and Howland (2015) had earlier concluded that for firms to effectively compete in the complex and highly competitive environment, companies must constantly improve the quality of their products, reducing costs, and differentiating their products and services. The results therefore show that small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya had adopted this strategy to a great extent though with a significant level of variability as shown by the standard deviation.

5.1 Reliability Of The Research Instruments

Reliability of the research instrument in this study was tested using internal consistency test. The internal consistency was measured using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient (α). The coefficient indicates how well the items in a set are positively correlated to one another (Benjamin & Orodho, 2014). The Alpha ranges from zero, indicating no internal consistency, to one, showing complete internal consistency. The higher the coefficient, the more reliable the measurement scale. As rule of the thumb, reliability value of 0.6 and above is acceptable. Reliability of research instruments was conducted and the results are as shown in Table 3 below.
The regression results were thus summarised as follows; the performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The study noted that the P-value for the F-statistic was 0.002 which was less than the significance level of 0.05. The regression coefficient (Physical Resources) was 0.002 while that of the constant was 2.602. It is also found that with all things constant, increasing physical resources by a unit would lead to a 0.325 increase in performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The results also imply that 55.4 percent of the changes in performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya is explained by other factors other than physical resources. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) results indicated that the F-statistic was 0.002 which was greater than the critical value of 3.9146 and therefore the null hypothesis was not accepted and the conclusion was lowered compared to the significance level of 0.05. Therefore, it was concluded that the model was a good fit for performance prediction. The results also indicate that the unstandardized coefficient for the constant was 2.602 while that of physical resources was 0.325. The results imply that holding physical resources constant at zero (0) performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya would be 2.602. It is also found that with all things constant, increasing physical resources by a unit would lead to a 0.325 increase in performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The study noted that the P-Value for the regression coefficient (Physical Resources) was 0.002 which was less than the significance level of 0.05. The null hypothesis was not accepted and the conclusion was that resources have a significant influence on performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The regression results were thus summarised as follows;

\[ FP = 2.602 + 0.325PHR + e \]

From the result shown in Table 3, it is found Cronbach alpha coefficient for physical resources was 0.883 while performance had a coefficient of 0.904. Based on these observations, the study noted that the coefficients for all the constructs were greater than 0.7 and it was therefore concluded that the questionnaire was reliable. The study further conducted inferential analysis through regression analysis was conducted and the results are as shown in Table 4

### Table 4: Regressing performance and physical resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.668(^a)</td>
<td>.446</td>
<td>.442</td>
<td>.592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>103.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>81.69</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>2.602</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>6.934</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical Resources</td>
<td>.325</td>
<td>.105</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>3.094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Performance  
b. Predictors: (Constant), Physical Resources  
Source: Survey Data (2019)

The results in Table 4 above show that the R square (R\(^2\)) for the model was 0.446 meaning that physical resources accounted for 44.6 percent of the changes in performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The results also imply that 55.4 percent of the changes in performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya is explained by other factors other than physical resources. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) results indicated that the F-statistic for the model was 103.884 which was greater than the critical value of 3.9146 and therefore the null hypothesis was not accepted and the conclusion was lowered compared to the significance level of 0.05. Therefore, it was concluded that the model was a good fit for performance prediction. The results also indicate that the unstandardized coefficient for the constant was 2.602 while that of physical resources was 0.325. The results imply that holding physical resources constant at zero (0) performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya would be 2.602. It is also found that with all things constant, increasing physical resources by a unit would lead to a 0.325 increase in performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The study noted that the P-Value for the regression coefficient (Physical Resources) was 0.002 which was less than the significance level of 0.05. The null hypothesis was not accepted and the conclusion was that resources have a significant influence on performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The regression results were thus summarised as follows;

\[ FP = 2.602 + 0.325PHR + e \]
resources are some of the most essential when it comes to product firms since they play an important role in the production process. Moreover, Myeda and Pitt (2014) emphasized on the role of facilities management in encouraging organizational performance, and in giving competitive advantage. Angila (2008) investigating the impact availability and use of physical resources on the performance of students concluded that there exists a favourable relationship between the two. Earlier studies by Angila (2008), Obinga (2014) and Pitt, et al, (2016) had shown that gaps exist in literature where scholars had not conceptualised the measurement of the variable while other studies failed to show the association that exist between physical resources and performance. It was also found that researchers had shied away from measuring performance in manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. This study thus filled this gap by showing that a positive relationship exist between physical resources and performance of small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya. The study further contributes to the body of knowledge by showing that physical resources significantly influence performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya.

5.2 Correlation Analysis
The objective of correlation analysis was to establish the nature and strength of the relationship that exist between the study variables. To achieve this objective, Pearson’s product moment correlation was used. The decision on the strength of the relationship was based on Dancey and Reidy (2004) recommendations who indicated that a correlation coefficient of 1 indicates that there is a perfect correlation between the variables, a correlation coefficient of 0.7 to 0.9 shows a strong correlation, a coefficient of, 0.4 to 0.6 indicates a moderate correlation, a coefficient of 0.1 to 0.3 shows a weak correlation while a coefficient of 0 shows absence of correlation. The results of the correlation analysis were as summarised in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Resources</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.668</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results shown in table 5, it is found the correlation coefficient between performance and physical resources was 0.668 with a significance level of 0.002. These results show that there was a strong positive correlation between performance of small and medium manufacturing enterprises and physical resources. In general, the results showed that there was a positive correlation between performance of small and medium manufacturing enterprises and all physical resources implying that an increase in strategic resources lead to an increase in performance of small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya.

6. Conclusion
The findings of the research show that small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya adopted production facility, ICT infrastructure to a great extent, and marketing infrastructure to a moderate extent while natural resources were used to a low extent. Overall, physical resources affected performance to a great extent and the study therefore concluded that physical resources have a significant influence on performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. The study established that production facility and marketing infrastructure significantly predicted performance while ICT infrastructure and natural resources failed to significantly predict performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. Further, the results showed that physical resources were significant in predicting profitability and market share but insignificant in predicting sales volume and number of customers.

Recommendations of the Study
The study concluded that that physical resources have a significant influence on performance of manufacturing SMEs in Kenya. In particular the study found that ICT infrastructure, production facility and marketing infrastructure had the greatest influence on performance. The research recommendation is that the management of small and medium manufacturing enterprises in Kenya should ensure that they invest significantly in these resources so as to maximise the performance of these firms. Since natural resources only had a moderate influence of performance of the firm, the study recommends that the management should economically justify investment in acquisition of natural resources.
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